WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

&

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar.

Case No -<u>OA-880 of 2014.</u>

	Dalnu Barman & AnotherVs- The State of West Bengal & Others.	
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	For the Applicants: Mrs. Sonali Mitra,	
7 13.12.2018	Advocate.	
15.12.2016	For the Respondents: Mr. Soumendra Narayan Ray, Advocate.	
	In this application the applicant has challenged	
	the impugned order dated 24th June, 2014 being	
	annexure 'L' to this application and has prayed for a	
	direction upon the respondents to complete the	
	selection process for the post of Excise Constable in	
	terms of the memo no. 379(41)/E(U) dated 29th June,	
	2006. It is submitted by Mrs. Sonali Mitra, learned	
	advocate for the applicants that since Sanjay Bera, one	
	of the applicants in the instant application, had moved	
	the High Court against the order dated 30th January,	
	2017 passed by the Tribunal and as the High Court by	
	order dated 2 nd July, 2018 passed in W.P.S.T. 39 of 2017	
	while disposing of the writ petition had remanded the	
	matter back to the Tribunal for a decision afresh, the	
	impugned order may be set aside and quashed.	
	Mr. Soumendra Narayan Ray, learned advocate	
	appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that as	
	the self-same selection process was under challenge in	
	OA-380 of 2017 (Indra Kumar Roy & OrsVs-The State	
L	l	l

_					
ᆫ	\sim	rr	n	Ν	\sim
	u			ıν	u.

Dalnu	Barman	&	Another.

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA-880 of 2014.</u>

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	of West Bengal & Others), which was disposed of on	
	29th November, 2018 wherein Mrs. Mitra had appeared	
	and the impugned order dated 24th June, 2014 passed	
	by the Principal Secretary to the Government of West	
	Bengal, Department of Excise was not interfered with,	
	no order may be passed. Mr. Roy submits that no writ	
	petition has been filed in the High Court challenging	
	the order dated 29th November, 2018 passed in OA-	
	380 of 2017.	
	Heard Mrs. Sonali Mitra, learned advocate for	
	the applicants and Mr. Soumendra Narayan Ray,	
	learned for the respondents.	
	It appears that the High Court on 2 nd July, 2018	
	while disposing of the writ petition, being W.P.S.T.	
	No. 39 of 2017, had passed an order the relevant	
	portion of which is as under:	
	"Since the learned Tribunal did	
	not examine the validity of the order	
	passed by the respondent authority	
	discontinuing the selection process of	
	2006 on its merit the matter is	

remanded back to the learned Tribunal

for its decision afresh in accordance

with law expeditiously and preferably

С,	_	r	~	. 1	N١	_	
-	0	ΙI	m	ı	N	0	_

Dalnu	Barman	&	Another.

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-880		Office action with date
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessar
	within a period of cir months from the	3
	within a period of six months from the	
	date of communication of this order.	
	As abundant precaution, let it be	
	observed by us that we have not	
	examined the issue involved in the	
	original application on its merit and	
	all points are kept open to be decided	
	by the learned Tribunal.	
	This writ petition is, thus,	
	disposed of"	
	It appears, as submitted on behalf of the	
	respondents, the said impugned order dated 24th June,	
	2014 was also under challenge in OA-380 of 2017,	
	wherein on 29th November, 2018 the following order	
	was passed:	
	"In this application the	
	applicants have challenged the order	
	dated 24^{th} June, 2014 passed by the	
	Principal Secretary to the Government	
	of West Bengal annulling the process	
	of recruitment initiated in 2006 for the	
	recruitment to the post of Excise	
	Constables. Though directions were	
	issued for filing of affidavits, no	

_					
ᆫ	\sim	rr	n	Ν	\sim
	u			ıν	u.

Dalnu	Barman	R_{τ}	Another	
Daimu	рагшап	œ	Anomer	_

Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
	affidavit has been filed by the	
	respondents. It is submitted by Mr.	
	Soumendra Narayan Ray, learned	
	advocate for the respondents that since	
	the State authorities have the authority	
	to cancel the selection process at any	
	stage prior to giving appointment, the	
	order under challenge is just and	
	proper.	
	Mrs. Sonali Mitra, learned	
	advocate for the applicants submits	
	that since the selection process is over	
	and as the applicants have crossed the	
	age bar, direction may be given to the	
	respondents to allow them to	
	participate in the future selection	
	process condoning the age bar.	
	Having heard Mrs. Mitra and	
	Mr. Ray and considering the facts and	
	circumstances of the case, we are of the	
	view that the order dated 24^{th} June,	
	2014 passed by the Principal Secretary	
	to the Government of West Bengal	
	requires no interference as the state	

Ea	rm	Ν	\sim
FΟ	1111	IИ	O.

Dalnu Barman & Another.

••••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA-880 of 2014.</u>

..... Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date Serial No. and and dated signature Date of order. 2 of parties when necessary 1 authority have the right to cancel the selection process in the facts and circumstances as mentioned in the order dated 24th June, 2014 which is under challenge. However, as the applicants have now crossed the age for applying in a post under the State, considering the said fact we dispose of this application by directing the State respondents to allow them to appear in

> It is needless to mention that the subject matter in OA-380 of 2017 (Indra Kumar Roy & Ors.-Vs-The State of West Bengal & Others) and in this Original Application are similar as noted. Mrs. Sonali Mitra had appeared in OA-380 of 2017 which was disposed of on 29th November, 2018. The instant matter was taken up on 5th December, 2018 when Mrs. Mitra did not mention about the order passed by the High Court in W.P.S.T. 39 of 2017 nor it was mentioned on 29th November, 2018 when OA-380 of 2017 was taken up

the future selection process for the post

of Excise Constables after condoning

the age bar, if they are otherwise

eligible. No order as to costs."

	Dalnu Barman & Another.
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA-880 o</u> Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
	and disposed of. Since the issues in OA-380 no 2017	
	and in this application are identical and we have	
	already on 29th November, 2018 in OA-380 of 2017	
	passed orders as noted and issue is covered by that	
	order, no order can be passed on this application. The	
	application is disposed of.	
	(P. RAMESH KUMAR) (SOUMITRA PAL)	
	MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
Sourav.		